

GLOBAL ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS



**GERSTEL**

AppNote 3/2000

## Corkiness in Wine - Trace Analysis of 2,4,6-Trichloroanisole by Stir Bar Sorptive Extraction (SBSE) and Thermal Desorption GC/MS

Andreas Hoffmann

*Gerstel GmbH & Co. KG, Eberhard-Gerstel-Platz 1,  
D-45473 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany*

Wolf Rüdiger Sponholz

*Forschungsanstalt Geisenheim, Fachgebiet Mikrobiologie und Bio-  
chemie, Von-Lade-Strasse 1, D-65366 Geisenheim, Germany*

Frank David

*Research Institute for Chromatography, Kennedypark 20,  
B-8500 Kortrijk, Belgium*

Pat Sandra

*University of Ghent, Department of Organic Chemistry,  
Krijgslaan 281 S4, B-9000 Gent, Belgium*

### **KEYWORDS**

Twister, Stir Bar Sorptive Extraction SBSE, 2,4,6-Trichloroanisole, Wine, Off-Flavor, Thermal Desorption, Capillary GC/MS, Ultra-Trace Analysis

### **ABSTRACT**

2,4,6-Trichloroanisole (TCA) is well known as being the main cause for corkiness in wine. Its extremely low odor threshold usually mandates enrichment and concentration steps before it is available for precise quantitation.

This paper describes the applicability of a recently developed, novel and simple approach for sorptive extraction of organic compounds from aqueous samples for the determination of 2,4,6-Trichloroanisole in wine without the necessity of any classical sample preparation.

Calibration curves will show linear responses over more than three magnitudes down to 10 ng/l in full scan and below 1 ng/l in selected ion monitoring.

## INTRODUCTION

2,4,6-Trichloroanisole is an off-flavor substance which is believed to be produced by fungal degradation and methylation of pentachlorophenol fungicides [1, 2]. Its origin in wine can be traced back to contaminated cork stoppers and is either already present in the corkwood or produced during corkwood-processing.

Above concentrations as low as 15-20 ng/l TCA contributes to a corky off-flavor in wine, and can result in complete spoilage [3].

A common procedure to analyze cork for TCA is to leach it out of the cork into a reference wine or an ethanol-water mixture at consistent parameters. After extraction with an organic solvent TCA can be quantified by reconcentration of the extract and headspace sampling or direct injection into a standard GC-system [4].

Another technique applicable is direct thermal extraction: a small piece of the cork stopper is placed into an empty glass tube of a thermal desorption system and heated to a temperature suited to release the TCA from the corkwood matrix followed by GC analysis. Here sample amounts lower than 10 mg are sufficient for extremely low detection limits [5].

All these methodologies have in common that they solve the analytical problem at its source (which can be desired as a preventive task). Regarding especially legal aspects, it is desirable to determine TCA in wine directly, not indirectly through corkwood analysis. The problem here is the extremely low odor threshold of TCA, which requires cumbersome extraction and enrichment steps in order to achieve the necessary sensitivity.

On the other hand liquid-liquid extractions require the use of organic solvents and often produce more toxic waste than the trace level pollutants to be determined. State-of-the-art procedures should be designed to minimize or even completely avoid organic solvent consumption and therefore provide an alternative „en-

vironmentally friendly“ approach.

About 10 years ago Arthur and Pawliszyn developed such a technique called solid phase micro extraction (SPME) [6]. Extraction of organics from water using polydimethyl-siloxane (PDMS) sorbents (PDMS coated open tubular traps) has been described by various groups in the mid 80's, but practical limitations like low sample capacity and low sample breakthrough volumes, have prevented its acceptance. It was the practical approach of SPME with its PDMS-coated fiber that led to its success.

SPME is an equilibrium technique based on partitioning between the coating and the aqueous matrix. This equilibrium can be correlated with octanol/water distribution coefficients ( $K(o/w)$ ) recently published in several studies [7-9]. For low coefficients ( $<10000$ ) low recoveries are obtained, an effect of the phase ratio between PDMS and aqueous phase. As a consequence enlarging the amount of PDMS relative to the aqueous matrix would dramatically increase recovery of analytes and therefore sensitivity.


The amount of phase usually used for SPME is typically in the order of 0.5  $\mu$ l. Using a sample size of 10 ml this corresponds to a phase ratio of 20000. That implies that for 50% recovery of a given compound (50% in the PDMS, 50% left in the aqueous matrix) a  $K(o/w)$  larger than 20000 is necessary. The studies showed clearly that for compounds with a  $K(o/w)$  lower than 10000 only low or no recoveries are obtained.



**Figure 1.** Gerstel Twister.

This paper describes the applicability of a recently developed technique (Stir Bar Sorptive Extraction, SBSE) based on this sorption principle for the determination of 2,4,6-Trichloroanisole in wine. Here a stir

bar instead of a fiber is coated with PDMS and used for extraction. The coating volume is in the range of 55  $\mu\text{l}$ , which corresponds to a phase ratio  $<200$  and therefore leads to an increase in sensitivity by a factor of more than 100 compared to SPME. 50% recovery can now be reached for compounds with a  $K(o/w)$  as low as 200. Figure 2 compares the different recoveries for SPME and SBSE.



**Figure 2.** Recovery as function of  $\log K_{(o/w)}$ .

## EXPERIMENTAL

**Instrumentation.** The stir bars (Twister, Gerstel GmbH & Co.KG, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany) consist of a 10 mm magnetic stirring rod incorporated in a glass jacket and coated with a 0.5 mm layer of PDMS.

The analytical system consists of a thermodesorption system (TDS 2, Gerstel), a temperature programmable vaporization inlet (CIS 4, Gerstel), a gas chromatograph (6890, Agilent Technologies, Little Falls, USA) and a mass selective detector (5973, Agilent Technologies).

**Operation.** Sample extraction is performed by placing 10 ml of wine in a 10 ml headspace vial, adding a stir bar, crimping the vial to avoid evaporation of volatiles into the air and stirring for 30-120 minutes. After extraction the stir bar is removed, rinsed with water, dried with a lint free tissue and placed in a glass thermal desorption tube. No further sample preparation is necessary.

The thermal desorption tube is then introduced into the thermal desorption unit, where the stir bar is thermally desorbed at a temperature of 200°C to release the extracted compounds into the cryogenically precooled PTV for subsequent GC/MS analysis.

## Analysis Conditions.

Twister	10 mm, 55 $\mu\text{l}$ PDMS
Column	30 m HP 5 (Agilent), $d_i = 0.25$ mm, $d_f = 0.25$ $\mu\text{m}$
Pneumatics	He, $P_i = 56.6$ kPa, constant flow = 1 ml/min TDS-desorption flow = 50 ml/min (splitless) PTV-splitless time = 1 min
TDS Temperatures	20°C, 60°C/min, 180°C (5 min)
PTV Temperatures	-150°C, 12°C/s, 280°C (5 min)
Oven Temperatures	60°C (1 min), 10°C/min, 150°C, 25°C/min, 300°C (30 min)
Detector	MSD, 230°C / 150°C, Scan 35-350 amu, SIM m/z 212/197/169


## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Two different series of wine samples were analyzed: The first series contained wines which showed a clear and distinctive cork off-flavor together with some non-tainted wines for comparison. The second series contained wines which were found to have an off-flavor, but could not be definitively described as „corky“.


**Calibration.** Two calibration curves were prepared: One for TIC-mode with calibration levels at 100.000, 50.00, 10.000, 5.000, 1.000, 500, 100, 50 and 10 ng/l (Figure 3), another one for SIM-mode with calibration levels at 10.000, 5.000, 1.000, 500, 100, 50, 10, 5 and 1 ng/l (Figure 4).

The TIC-standard was prepared by adding TCA to a non-tainted wine, the SIM-standard by adding TCA to a 12% ethanol-water mix.


For each standard and for each sample a new stir bar was used. It is not necessary to use only one and the same stir bar for quantitation.




**Figure 3.** Calibration curve for total ion chromatogram mode.



**Figure 5.** Extracted ion chromatogram of 160 ng TCA per litre Silvaner wine (160 ppt).



**Figure 4.** Calibration curve for selected ion chromatogram mode.




**Figure 6.** Spectrum of 160 ng TCA per litre Silvaner wine (160 ppt).

Both calibration curves showed excellent linearity over three orders of magnitude analyte concentration. Several wines from the first series were analyzed and two of them have been chosen as examples: Figure 5 shows the extracted ion chromatogram of a „Silvaner“, with a TCA-content of 160 ng/l, which was the highest level found in our wine samples.


Even at this low level (ppt) very clean mass spectra are obtained (Figure 6).

The other extracted ion chromatogram shows a „Riesling“ where the TCA-content was determined to be at 9.5 ng/l (Figure 7). Although here no clean mass spectrum could be obtained due to overlapping of other compounds the ion traces still allow very accurate and reliable qualification and quantification.




**Figure 7.** Extracted ion chromatogram of 9.5 ng TCA per litre Riesling wine (9.5 ppt).

Operating the MSD in selected ion monitoring mode further increases the sensitivity of the method. Figures 8 and 9 show the same samples as in Figures 5 and 7, but this time in SIM. The enhanced signal to noise ratio further improves quantitation quality.




**Figure 8.** Selected ion monitoring chromatogram of 160 ng TCA per litre Silvaner wine (160 ppt).



**Figure 9.** Selected ion monitoring chromatogram of 9.5 ng TCA per litre Riesling wine (9.5 ppt).

The second series of wine samples consisted of 8 bottles of one wine type (a „Welschriesling“). Here Bromoanisole was added as internal standard, the TCA-content found ranged between 0.3 and 1.3 ng/l. Figure 10 shows a SIM-chromatogram of a wine sample with the lowest concentration found.

The wines of the second series cannot really be regarded as being „corky“, since the sensory detection limit of TCA is (depending on the literature source) somewhere between 3 and 15 ng/l. All of the wines in this study, even those not determined to be „corky“, were found to contain some TCA, they only differed in amount.



**Figure 10.** Selected ion monitoring chromatogram of 0.3 ng TCA per litre Welschriesling wine (300 ppq).

## CONCLUSIONS

Stir Bar Sorptive Extraction (SBSE) turned out to be an extremely powerful tool for the determination of 2,4,6-Trichloroanisole in wine. The combination of ease of use, ruggedness, precision, speed and sensitivity is a big step forward in trace analysis of aqueous samples.

No sample preparation is necessary, other than simply stirring, yet detection limits in the sub-ppt level can be reached with a standard benchtop mass selective detector.

In addition the entire methodology is „environmentally friendly“ due to the absence of any organic solvents involved in the analysis.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S.L. Neidleman and J. Geigert, *Biohalogenation: Principles, Basic Roles and Applications*, Ellis Harwood, Chichester 1986, Chapters 2,5,8.
- [2] D.K. Nicholson, S.L. Woods, J.D. Istok and D.C. Peek, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1992**, 58, 2280-2286.
- [3] H.-D. Belitz and W. Grosch, *Food Chemistry*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag 1999, p.860.
- [4] M.S. Klee and C.M. Meng, *Hewlett-Packard Application Note 395*, 1999, p. 4-5.
- [5] A. Hoffmann and W.R. Sponholz, *American Laboratory News* **1997**, Vol. 29, 7, 22-24.
- [6] C.L. Arthur and J. Pawliszyn, *J. Anal. Chem.* **1990**, 62, 2145.
- [7] J. Dugay, C. Miège and M.-C. Hennion, *J. Chrom. A* **1998**, 795, 27.
- [8] L.S. De Bruin, P.D. Josephy and J.B. Pawliszyn, *Anal. Chem.* **1998**, 70, 1986.
- [9] J. Beltran, F.J. Lopez, O. Cepria and F. Hernandez, *J. Chrom. A* **1998**, 808, 257.



**GERSTEL GmbH & Co. KG**

Eberhard-Gerstel-Platz 1  
45473 Mülheim an der Ruhr  
Germany

☎ +49 (0) 208 - 7 65 03-0  
☎ +49 (0) 208 - 7 65 03 33  
@ gerstel@gerstel.com  
🌐 www.gerstel.com

**GERSTEL Worldwide**

**GERSTEL, Inc.**

701 Digital Drive, Suite J  
Linthicum, MD 21090  
USA

☎ +1 (410) 247 5885  
☎ +1 (410) 247 5887  
@ sales@gerstelus.com  
🌐 www.gerstelus.com

**GERSTEL AG**

Wassergrabe 27  
CH-6210 Sursee  
Switzerland

☎ +41 (41) 9 21 97 23  
☎ +41 (41) 9 21 97 25  
@ swiss@ch.gerstel.com  
🌐 www.gerstel.ch

**GERSTEL K.K.**

1-3-1 Nakane, Meguro-ku  
Tokyo 152-0031  
SMBC Toritsuudai Ekimae Bldg 4F  
Japan

☎ +81 3 5731 5321  
☎ +81 3 5731 5322  
@ info@gerstel.co.jp  
🌐 www.gerstel.co.jp

**GERSTEL LLP**

Level 25, North Tower  
One Raffles Quay  
Singapore 048583

☎ +65 6622 5486  
☎ +65 6622 5999  
@ SEA@gerstel.com  
🌐 www.gerstel.com

**GERSTEL Brasil**

Av. Pascoal da Rocha Falcão, 367  
04785-000 São Paulo - SP Brasil

☎ +55 (11)5665-8931  
☎ +55 (11)5666-9084  
@ gerstel-brasil@gerstel.com  
🌐 www.gerstel.com.br

Information, descriptions and specifications in this Publication are subject to change without notice. GERSTEL, GRAPHPACK and TWISTER are registered trademarks of GERSTEL GmbH & Co. KG.

© Copyright by GERSTEL GmbH & Co. KG



Awarded for the active pursuit of environmental sustainability